

# On automorphism groups of power semigroups over numerical semigroups

Speaker: Songnian Xu  
Advisor: Prof. Dein Wong

March 12, 2026

# Contents

1 Basic Concepts

2 Problem 1

3 Problem 2

4 References

# Basic Concepts

For a semigroup  $S$  with a binary operation “+” (written additively):

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)$  denotes the set of all finite non-empty subsets of  $S$ .
- Binary operation:

$$X + Y = \{x + y : x \in X, y \in Y\}, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S).$$

We call  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)$  the finitary power semigroup of  $S$ .

- If  $0 \in S$ , by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$  we denote the monoid consisting of all finite non-empty subsets of  $S$  containing  $0$ . This is the reduced finitary power monoid of  $S$ , with singleton  $\{0\}$  as zero-element.
- $\mathbb{Z}$ : additive group of all integers.
- $\mathbb{N}$ : the sub-monoid of  $\mathbb{Z}$  of all nonnegative integers.

# Inner Automorphism

Let  $H$  be a monoid and  $f \in \text{Aut}(H)$ . There is a natural way to extend  $f$  to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$  (or  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H)$ ):

$$X \longmapsto f[X] := \{f(x) : x \in X\}.$$

Such automorphisms, arising naturally from automorphisms of  $H$ , are called the **inner automorphisms** of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$  (or  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H)$ ).

## Question 1

Does  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(H)$  (or  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H)$ ) admit any automorphism *other than* the inner ones?

# A Theorem of Tringali and Yan

## Theorem 1 (Tringali and Yan, 2025)

The only automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  are:

- the **identity** automorphism, and
- the **involution**  $\sigma_0$  defined by

$$\sigma_0(X) = \max X - X,$$

where  $\max X$  denotes the maximum element of  $X$ .

Since  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N}) = \{\text{id}\}$ , the involution  $\sigma_0$  **cannot** be an inner automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{N})$ .

# A Conjecture

Following up on Theorem 1, Tringali and Wen [1] proved that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{Dih}_{\infty},$$

where  $\text{Dih}_{\infty}$  denotes the infinite dihedral group.

This leads to a natural question:

## Question 2

What is  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}))$ ?

Tringali and Wen proposed the following conjecture:

[Tringali and Wen] The only nontrivial automorphism of the reduced finitary power monoid of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is given by

$$X \mapsto -X := \{-x : x \in X\}.$$

# Key Observations

For any  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}))$ , we first examine:

$$\{0, 1\}^f \longrightarrow ? \quad \text{and} \quad \{0, -1\}^f \longrightarrow ?$$

This is because for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\llbracket -a, b \rrbracket = a\{0, -1\} + b\{0, 1\},$$

where  $\llbracket -a, b \rrbracket$  denotes the integer interval from  $-a$  to  $b$ . We know that for any set  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $X$  admits the following decomposition:

$$X = \llbracket -a_1, b_1 \rrbracket \cup \llbracket -a_2, b_2 \rrbracket \cup \llbracket -a_3, b_3 \rrbracket \cup \cdots \cup \llbracket -a_k, b_k \rrbracket,$$

where  $k$  is the smallest integer for which such a decomposition exists. In this case,  $k$  is called the **boxing dimension** of  $X$ . From this decomposition, we see that  $\{0, 1\}$  and  $\{0, -1\}$  play a crucial role — analogous to the role of a **basis** in a linear space.

# A Key Lemma

First, for any  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}))$ , we know  $\{0\}^f = \{0\}$  since  $\{0\}$  is the identity element of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus we may assume:

$$\min(\{0, 1\}^f) = -a, \quad \max(\{0, 1\}^f) = b, \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a + b > 0;$$

$$\min(\{0, -1\}^f) = -c, \quad \max(\{0, -1\}^f) = d, \quad \text{where } c, d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad c + d > 0.$$

Based on the above analysis, we believe that  $\max X^f$  and  $\min X^f$  are closely related to  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$ . Subsequently, we proved the following result:

## Lemma 2

*For any  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\min X = -x_-$  and  $\max X = x_+$ , we have*

$$\min(X^f) = -c x_- - a x_+, \quad \max(X^f) = d x_- + b x_+.$$

# Properties of $\{0, 1\}^f$

If Tringali and Wen's conjecture is correct, then for any  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}))$ , we naturally expect

$$\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{0, -1\}^f = \{0, 1\}.$$

Using the previous lemma, we indeed obtain this result.

## Lemma 3

*Let  $f$  be an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then either*

$$\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{0, -1\}^f = \{0, 1\}.$$

## The Case $\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\}$

Now, without loss of generality, assume  $\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\}$ .

If  $\{0, -1\}^f = \{1, 0\}$ , define the map  $f_0$  by  $X^{f_0} = -X$ . Then we have

$$\{0, 1\}^{f_0 f} = \{1, 0\}.$$

Thus it suffices to consider the case  $\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\}$  in our analysis.

## Case: $\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\}$

Assume  $\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\}$  for  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}))$ . According to the conjecture, we expect  $X^f = X$  for all  $X$ .

Using the previous lemma, we first prove:

(1)  $\{0, -1\}^f = \{0, -1\}$ , and for any  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\min(X^f) = \min(X), \quad \max(X^f) = \max(X).$$

Then, for any interval  $\llbracket -a, b \rrbracket = a\{0, -1\} + b\{0, 1\}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\llbracket -a, b \rrbracket^f = a\{0, -1\}^f + b\{0, 1\}^f = a\{0, -1\} + b\{0, 1\} = \llbracket -a, b \rrbracket.$$

## Case: $\{0, 1\}^f = \{0, 1\}$ (Continued)

(2) For  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  (or  $-X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ ):

$$\min(X^f) = \min(X), \max(X^f) = \max(X) \text{ by (1)} \implies$$

$$f|_{\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})).$$

Thus, by Theorem 1, we have:

$$X^f = X \quad \text{or} \quad X^f = \max X - X.$$

The case  $X^f = \max X - X$  leads to a contradiction (by constructing a counterexample).

## Remaining Case

We are now left with the final situation:

$$X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \max(X) > 0 \text{ and } \min(X) < 0.$$

For this case, we use a tool called the **boxing dimension** of  $X$  ( $\text{b. dim}(X)$ ).

We prove  $X^f = X$  by induction on  $\text{b. dim}(X) + \text{b. dim}(X^f)$ .

This method comes from Tringali and Yan's paper [2].

## A Second Problem from Tringali and Yan

Our second problem also originates from a conjecture by Tringali and Yan: [Tringali and Yan] Let  $S$  be a monoid properly contained in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S))$  must be the identity.

We do not address this conjecture directly, but instead consider an analogous problem:

### Question 3

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)) = ?$$

where  $S$  is a **semigroup** properly contained in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

# Main Results

We proved the following:

## Theorem 4

Let  $S \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$  be a semigroup.

- (1) If  $S$  is a **discrete interval**, i.e.,  $S = \llbracket k, \infty \rangle$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the only nontrivial automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$  is the involution

$$\sigma(X) = \max X - X + \min X, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S).$$

- (2) Otherwise, if  $S$  is **not** a discrete interval, then  $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$  has only the identity automorphism.





# Proof Strategy

Our proof proceeds in three steps:

- 1 **Step 1.** Let  $k$  be the least element of  $S$  such that  $\llbracket k, \infty \rrbracket \subseteq S$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\llbracket k, \infty \rrbracket)$  is stabilized by any automorphism  $f$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)$ . Thus, the problem reduces to studying the restriction  $f|_{\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\llbracket k, \infty \rrbracket)}$ .
- 2 **Step 2.** Determine  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\llbracket k, \infty \rrbracket))$ .
- 3 **Step 3.** Based on the form of an arbitrary automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\llbracket k, \infty \rrbracket)$ , we complete the characterization of any automorphism  $f$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)$ .

We won't go into the proof details here. Finally, we have provided the preprint details in the references. Interested readers can download them from arXiv.

# References

-  S. Tringali, K. Wen, The automorphism groups of the finitary power monoid of the integers under addition, preprint (arXiv:2504.12566v1).
-  S. Tringali, W. Yan, On power monoids and their automorphisms, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 209 (2025), 105961, 16 pp.
-  D. Wong, S. Xu, C. Zhang, Z. Wang, On automorphism group of the reduced finitary power monoid of the additive group of integers, preprint (arXiv:2602.19493).
-  D. Wong, S. Xu, C. Zhang, J. Zhao, On automorphism groups of power semigroups over numerical semigroups or over numerical monoids, preprint (arXiv:2512.12606).

Thanks!